

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | I | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/765,273 | 3 01/28/2004 | | Jean-Pierre Banville | 017835-0383 | 7578 |
| 22428 | 7590 | 06/14/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| FOLEY AN | ID LAR | DNER | FRIEDHOFER, MICHAEL A | | |
| SUITE 500 3000 K STR | FFT NW | , | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHING? | | | | 2832 | |
| | | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | A. |
|---|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Office Action Summary | 10/765,273 | BANVILLE ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | Michael A. Friedhofer | 2832 |
| Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.11.12 and 14-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-10.13, and 19 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | epted or b) objected to by the formula of the following of behild in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object. | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | |

Application/Control Number: 10/765,273

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 11, 12, and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaibara.

Kaibara discloses in figures 1-5 a breaking detector for a shear pin 1 having a central bore including an electrically conductive element 7 forming an elongated loop extending on a length of the bore of the shear pin and having upper ends defining contact surfaces adjacent each other; an electrically insulating element formed by a circuit board 11 extending in the loop; power supply cables formed by part of the element 7; and insulating tube 10 for removably supporting the elements of the detector in the bore of the shear pin. The counductive strip or element 7 forms connection terminals providing contact surfaces that are then connected to sensor 2.

As for the type of connectors, material of the conductive strip; and the material of the tube, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form these of male and female connectors; the tube of plastic, and the element of copper; because the materials are well known materials for insulation of a tube and conduction in the element while the connectors ensure the proper

Application/Control Number: 10/765,273 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

connection of the detector to the sensor. As for providing a system for detecting the breaking of shear pins, the multiplicity of elements for the purpose of operating similar devices is obvious and well known and provides a central localized system for detecting the breaking through a group of machines.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 2-10, 13, and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. McDowell, Hartmann, Palin et al, Lejeune, Leonard, Kurtz, and Simon teach various breakage detecting circuits and sensors..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael A. Friedhofer whose telephone number is 571-272-1992. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:00 - 2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2832

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael A. Friedhofer Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2832

maf